

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/517,778	Applicant(s) IKEZONO ET AL.	
	Examiner Christine Foster	Art Unit 1641	

All Participants:

(1) Christine Foster.

(2) Walter Schlapkohl.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *Proposed amendments were previously faxed to the examiner on August 10, 2010.*

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 1-2, 5, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:10 AM

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Subsequent to the interview of August 6, Applicant's representative faxed proposed claim amendments to the examiner. After conferring with the examiner's supervisor in a patentability conference, the Office proposed additional minor changes to place the application in better condition for allowance. Mr. Schlapkohl indicated in a subsequent conversation on August 25 that these changes were acceptable to Applicant. All of these changes are detailed in Applicant's amendment filed on 8/25/10.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christine Foster/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1641

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)